

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF OCTOBER

TWO THOUSAND AND TEN

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

42

CC. NO. 1087 of 2010

Between:

Dr. K. Krishna Kumar, S/o. K. Nagi Reddy.

..... Petitioner

AND

1. Sri Rudra Gangadharan, Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry Dairying & Fisheries, Government of India, Room No. 231, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. Dr. Anup Bhaumik, S/o. Late Dr. A.M. Bhaumik, Secretary, Veterinary Council of India, A Wing, II Floor, August Kranti Bhavan, Bhikaji, Cama Place, New Delhi.
3. Sri G. Subramani, S/o. S. Gopalakrishnan, Returning Officer, Veterinary Council of India Elections 2009, H. No. YZ-31, Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi-110023.

.....Respondents

Contempt case under Section 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents herein for gross violation of the orders in WP. No. 25775 of 2009 dated: 29-12-2009;

The Contempt Case coming on for hearing upon perusing the case and the affidavit filed herein and the order of the High Court dated: 30-07-2010 & 19-10-2010 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Ch. Dhananjaya, Advocate for the Petitioner the Advocate General for the Respondent No. 1 and Sri Srinivasa Murthy, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2, the Court made the following

ORDER:

"Heard the learned Advocate General for respondent No.1 and Sri Srinivas Murthy for respondent No.2 in detail. Necessary record is also perused.

This Court as of now is of the view that respondent No.2 did his best to prevent the conduct of elections and thereby has fouled the directions issued by this Court. Whatever may have been his justification in not taking any steps to comply with the orders of this Court till the dismissal of the S.L.P. by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 05.04.2010, the steps taken by him give an impression to this Court that he acted with the aim to either prevent or complicate the election process to the best of his ability. Various contentions raised by him in the counter- affidavit are totally untenable and he did not even express any remorse.

This Court feels that unless respondent No.2 is skipped of his role in the election process, he would go on creating blocks one after the other.

Therefore, it is directed that:

- (a) respondent No.1 shall appoint an Election Officer, if not already appointed, forthwith.
- (b) An Officer next in the rank to respondent No.2 shall be the in-charge of the duties expected of the Veterinary Council and the election process shall be completed within 30 days from today.

The presence of respondent No.1 is dispensed with. Respondent No.2 is present and his presence is also dispensed with until further orders.
Post on 29.11.2010.

Sd/- C.V.RAMAKRISHNA
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Sri Rudra Gangadharan, Secretary, Department of Animal Husbandry Dairying & Fisheries, Government of India, Room No. 231, Krishi Bhavan, New Delhi.
2. Dr. Anup Bhaumik, S/o. Late Dr. A.M. Bhaumik, Secretary, Veterinary Council of India, A Wing, II Floor, August Kranti Bhavan, Bhikaji, Cama Place, New Delhi.
3. Sri G. Subramani, S/o. S. Gopalakrishnan, Returning Officer, Veterinary Council of India Elections 2009, H. No. YZ-31, Sarojini Nagar, New Delhi-110023.
(ADDRESSEES 2 to 4 BY SPEED POST)
4. One CC to Sri Ch. Dhanamjaha, Advocate (OPUC)
5. Two CCS to the Advocate General, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)
6. One CC to Sri Srinivasa Murthy, Advocate (OPUC)
7. One spare copy.

Ksv

117

HIGH COURT

LNRJ

DATE: 29-10-2010

NOTE: POST ON 29-11-2010

ORDER

C.C. NO. 1087 OF 2010

DIRECTION

